

Press Release 88 /2024 English

THE INTER-AMERICAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS HELD ITS 171ST REGULAR SESSION

San José, Costa Rica, December 18, 2024. – The Inter-American Court of Human Rights held its 171st Regular Session from November 10 to 29, 2024. During this session, eight judgments on contentious cases were approved, which will be notified later.

The activities during this period were conducted in a hybrid format, combining virtual and in-person activities.

I. Judgments

The Court approved judgments in the following contentious cases:

- 1. Case of Quilombola Communities of Alcântara v. Brazil¹
- 2. Case of Muniz Da Silva v. Brazil²
- 3. Case of Carrión et al. v. Nicaragua
- 4. Case of Peralta Armijos v. Ecuador
- 5. Case of Adolescents Detained in Provisional Detention Centers of the National Minors' Service (SENAME) v. Chile³
- 6. Case of Beatriz et al. v. El Salvador⁴
- 7. Case of Da Silva et al. v. Brazil⁵
- 8. Case of Gattass Sahih v. Ecuador

II. Interpretation Judgment

The Court issued an interpretation judgment in the case of Cuéllar Sandoval et al. v. El Salvador.

III. Provisional Measures

The Court issued resolutions regarding the following provisional measures or requests for provisional measures:

- 1. Matter of Juan Sebastián Chamorro et al. concerning Nicaragua
- 2. Case of Gutiérrez Soler v. Colombia
- 3. Case of Alvarado Espinoza et al. v. Mexico
- 4. Case of Petro Urrego et al. v. Colombia

IV. Monitoring Compliance with Judgments

The Court issued 14 compliance monitoring resolutions in the following cases:

- 1. Case of Mendoza et al. and Case of Álvarez v. Argentina (joint resolution on compliance monitoring)
- 2. Case of Honorato et al. v. Brazil
- 3. Case of Órdenes Guerra et al. v. Chile
- 4. Case of Poblete Vilches et al. v. Chile
- 5. Case of Ruiz Fuentes et al. v. Guatemala
- 6. Case of Tzompaxtle Tecpile et al. v. Mexico
- 7. Case of García Rodríguez et al. v. Mexico
- 8. Case of Acosta et al. v. Nicaragua
- 9. Case of Nissen Pessolani v. Paraguay

- 10. Case of "Five Pensioners" v. Peru
- 11. Case of Mota Abarullo et al. v. Venezuela
- 12. Case of Olivares Muñoz et al. v. Venezuela
- 13. Case of Chocrón Chocrón v. Venezuela
- 14. Case of Ortiz Hernández et al. v. Venezuela

Private Hearing on Compliance Monitoring

On November 11, 2024, a virtual private hearing on compliance monitoring was held in the case of Flores Bedregal v. Bolivia. The Court delegated this hearing to its Vice President, Judge Rodrigo Mudrovitsch.

V. Compliance Monitoring, Provisional Measures, and Administrative **Matters**

Additionally, the Court monitored compliance with various judgments and the implementation of provisional measures under its jurisdiction, as well as procedural issues in different contentious cases. It also reviewed several administrative matters.

The Court's composition for this session was: Judge Nancy Hernández López, President (Costa Rica); Judge Rodrigo Mudrovitsch, Vice President (Brazil); Judge Humberto Antonio Sierra Porto (Colombia); Judge Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor Poisot (Mexico); Judge Ricardo C. Pérez Manrique (Uruguay); Judge Verónica Gómez (Argentina); and Judge Patricia Pérez Goldberg (Chile).

This press release was drafted by the Registrar's Office of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights; it is solely responsible for this document.

For more information on the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, please visit www.corteidh.or.cr or write to the Registrar, Pablo Saavedra Alessandri, at corteidh@corteidh.or.cr. To contact the press office please write to Danniel Pinilla, Director of Communications and Press, at prensa@corteidh.or.cr.

You may subscribe to the Court's information services here. If you wish to unsubscribe, please send an email to comunicaciones@corteidh.or.cr. You may also follow the Court's activities through: Facebook, X (@CorteIDH for the account in Spanish, @IACourtHR for the account in English, and @CorteDirHumanos for the account in Portuguese), Instagram, Flickr, Vimeo, YouTube, LinkedIn and SoundCloud.

¹ Judge Rodrigo Mudrovitsch did not participate in the deliberation of this judgment due to his Brazilian nationality, in accordance with Article 19 of the Court's Rules of Procedure.

² Same as above.

³ Judge Patricia Pérez Goldberg did not participate in the deliberation of this judgment due to her Chilean nationality, in accordance with Article 19 of the Court's Rules of Procedure.

⁴ On March 20, 2023, Judge Patricia Pérez Goldberg requested to recuse herself from this case, explaining she suffers from systemic lupus erythematosus, which was activated during pregnancy, putting her life at risk and causing a permanent physical disability. She argued that this situation, combined with her public statements, could compromise the perception of impartiality and the legitimacy of the Court. The request was accepted by the Court's Presidency. For these reasons, Judge Pérez Goldberg did not participate in the public hearing, deliberation, or signing of this judgment.

⁵ Judge Rodrigo Mudrovitsch did not participate in the deliberation of this judgment due to his Brazilian nationality, in accordance with Article 19 of the Court's Rules of Procedure.