Press Release

Inter-American Court of Human Rights I/A Court H.R._PR-71/2021 English

Should you have any trouble viewing this message, please click **HERE**



I/A COURT H.R. TRANSLATES JUDGMENTS AND AN ADVISORY OPINION THANKS TO THE SUPPORT OF SWEDEN

San José, Costa Rica, October 13, 2021.- The Inter-American Court of Human Rights, with the support of the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) and the Royal Swedish Embassy in Guatemala, has made the English translations of twenty-seven Judgments and an Advisory Opinion of the Court available to the public.

Thanks to the "Institutional strengthening of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights for optimizing capacity" Project, it was possible to make a fundamental contribution to the diffusion of the Inter-American Court's Case Law in the English language, making all 2021 rulings available for immediate translation, as soon as they are published, and reducing by almost a third the Judgments that awaited translation in 2020 and in years prior to that.

The importance of translating the decisions of the Inter-American Court into English should be highlighted, because, primarily, there are English-speaking States party to the American Convention, and secondly, there are other American states, including several from the Caribbean, that have not yet ratified the American Convention and the language barrier is one of their main obstacles to accessing the Court's work. Additionally, English translation is essential for the harmonious development of International Human Rights Law and for strengthening the ever more fluid and constant Jurisprudential dialogue with the European and African Courts of Human Rights, the Human Rights Organs of the United Nations, Academia and International Law Research Centers, among many other key international actors.

The Judgments and Advisory Opinion that have been translated with Sweden's support, are:

- 1. <u>Case of Argüelles et al. v. Argentina. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> <u>Judgment of November 20, 2014. Series C No. 288.</u>
- 2. <u>Case of Maldonado Vargas et al. v. Chile. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of September 2, 2015. Series C No. 300.</u>
- 3. <u>Case of the Community Garífuna of Punta Piedra and its members v. Honduras. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of October 8, 2015. Series C No. 304.</u>
- 4. <u>Case of the Community Garífuna Triunfo de la Cruz and its members v. Honduras. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of October 8, 2015. Series C No. 305.</u>
- 5. <u>Case of Favela Nova Brasília v. Brazil. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> <u>Judgment of February 16, 2017. Series C No. 333.</u>
- 6. <u>Case of Vereda La Esperanza v. Colombia. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of August 31, 2017. Series C No. 341.</u>
- 7. <u>Case of the Xucuru Indigenous People and its members v. Brazil. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of February 5, 2018. Series C No. 346.</u>
- 8. <u>Case of San Miguel Sosa et al. v. Venezuela. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of February 8, 2018.</u> Series C No. 348.

- 9. <u>Case of Hernández v. Argentina. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> <u>Judgment of November 22, 2019. Series C No. 395.</u>
- 10. <u>Case of Almeida v. Argentina. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of November</u> 17, 2020. Series C No. 416.
- 11. <u>Case of Rosadio Villavicencio v. Peru. Interpretation of the Judgment of Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of October 8, 2020. Series C No. 414.</u>
- 12. <u>Case of the National Association of Discharged and Retired Employees of the National Tax Administration Superintendence (ANCEJUB-SUNAT) v. Peru. Interpretation of Judgment of Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of October 8, 2020. Series C No. 413.</u>
- 13. <u>Case of the Indigenous Communities of the Lhaka Honhat Association (Our Land) v.</u> <u>Argentina. Interpretation of the Judgment of Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of November 24, 2020. Series C No. 420.</u>
- 14. <u>Case of Villaseñor Velarde et al. v. Guatemala. Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> <u>Judgment of February 5, 2019. Series C No. 374.</u>
- 15. <u>Case of Montesinos Mejía v. Ecuador. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of January 27, 2020. Series C No. 398.</u>
- 16. <u>Case of Valle Ambrosio et al. v. Argentina. Merits and Reparations. Judgment of July 20, 2020. Series C No. 408.</u>
- 17. <u>Case of López et al. v. Argentina. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of November 25, 2019. Series C No. 396.</u>
- 18. <u>Case of Roche Azaña et al. v. Nicaragua. Interpretation of Judgment on Merits and Reparations. Judgment of November 18, 2020. Series C No. 418.</u>
- 19. <u>Case of Olivares Muñoz et al. v. Venezuela. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of November 10, 2020. Series C No. 415.</u>
- 20. <u>Case of Casa Nina v. Peru. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> Judgment of November 24, 2020. Series C No. 419.
- 21. <u>Case of Spoltore v. Argentina. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs.</u> Judgment of June 9, 2020. Series C No. 404.
- 22. <u>Case of Cordero Bernal v. Peru. Preliminary Objections and Merits. Judgment of February 16, 2021. Series C No. 421.</u>
- 23. <u>Case of Vicky Hernández et al. v. Honduras. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of March</u>, 26, 2021. Series C No. 422.
- 24. <u>Case of Guachalá Chimbo et al. v. Ecuador. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of March</u> 26, 2021. Series C No. 423.
- 25. <u>Case of Guerrero, Molina et al. v. Venezuela. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of June 3, 2021. Series C No. 424.</u>
- 26. <u>Case of Grijalva Bueno v. Ecuador. Preliminary Objections, Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of June 3, 2021. Series C No. 426.</u>
- 27. <u>Case of Mota Abarullo et al. v. Venezuela. Merits, Reparations and Costs. Judgment of November 18, 2020. Series C No. 417.</u>
- 28. Advisory Opinion. Indefinite Presidential Re-election in Presidential Systems in the context of the Inter-American System of Human Rights (Interpretation and scope of Articles 1, 23, 24 and 32 of the American Convention on Human Rights, xx of the American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man, 3(d) of the Charter of the Organization of American States and of the Inter-American Democratic Charter). Advisory Opinion OC-28/21 of June 7, 2021. Series A No. 28.

This press release was produced by the Secretariat of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, which is the only responsible for its content.

For the latest information please visit the website of the Inter-American Court, http://www.corteidh.or.cr/index-en.cfm, or send an email to Pablo Saavedra Alessandri, Secretary, at corteidh@corteidh.or.cr. For press inquiries please contact Matías Ponce at prensa@corteidh.or.cr.

You can subscribe to the information services of the Court here. You can sign up for updates from the Court here or unsubscribe sending an email to comunicaciones@corteidh.or.cr. You can also follow the activities of the Court on Facebook, Twitter (@CorteIDH for the Spanish account and @IACourtHR for the English account), Instagram, Flick, Vimeo and Soundcloud.

Inter-American Court of Human Rights. 2021. COLEY-NO-NO
Esta obra está bajo una Licencia Creative Commons Atribución-NoComercial-SinDerivadas
3.0 Unported

Avenida 10, Calles 45 y 47 Los Yoses, San Pedro, San José, Costa Rica.







